arXiv:hep-th/9712134v1 15 Dec 1997

GTP-97-06

Equivalence of renormalization with self-adjoint extension in Green's function formalism

D.K.Park[a] and Sahng-Kyoon Yoo[b]

[a] *Department of Physics, Kyungnam University, Masan 631-701, Korea*

[b] *Department of Physics, Seonam University, Namwon, Chunbuk 590-170, Korea*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

Energy-dependent Green's functions for the two and three dimensional δ-function plus harmonic oscillator potential systems are derived by incorporating the renormalization and the self-adjoint extension into the Green's function formalism, respectively. It is shown that both methods yield an identical Green's function if a certain relation between the self-adjoint extension parameter and the renormalized coupling constant is imposed.

Typeset using REVTEX

Recent study on spin-1/2 Aharonov-Bohm problem[1] and its closely related subjects in planar physics[2] produces much attention on a point interaction problem in quantum mechanics. In fact, point interaction is solvable from a mathematical standpoint. For a long time it has been well-known that the mathematical method for solving the quantum mechanical point interaction problem is the self-adjoint extension technique[3], whose essential point is to make the Hamiltonian to be self-adjoint operator by extending the Hamiltonian's domain of definition. In this approach point interaction can be transformed into the appropriate boundary condition which contains self-adjoint extension parameters.

Although the self-adjoint extension method yields a reasonable solution on the ground of mathematics, it is evident that more physically plausible approach to the point interaction problem is to solve the Schrödinger equation directly. Two dimensional Schrödinger equation for a δ-function potential is solved[4], and it has been found that δ-function potential generates the ultraviolet divergence as usual quantum field theories and renormalization procedure breaks the classical scale symmetry at quantum level. With an aid of the scale anomaly the two dimensional δ-function potential system exhibits the dimensional transmutation phenomenon[5].

Although the ultraviolet divergence is generated by the singular δ-function potential and hence, is treated in a similar manner to the usual quantum field theories, this simple system has an advantage that the renormalized exact solution is obtainable. Since our understanding on the non-perturbative treatment of the ultraviolet divergence is incomplete, nonrelativistic δ-function potential system can play an important role as a toy model for the study of the non-perturbative renormalization scheme. In this context, renormalization procedure and renormalization group in the nonrelativistic singular potential systems are studied by many authors[6], recently.

Several years ago comparision of the renormalization with the self-adjoint extension is examined by R. Jackiw[7] and it has been shown that mathematically-based self-adjoint extension and physically-based renormalization yield an identical physics in the two and three dimensional δ-function potential cases if a certain relation between the self-adjoint extension parameter and renormalized coupling constant is imposed. Recently, various point interaction systems have been examined in the framework of the Green's function formalism[8, 9]. In Ref.[8, 9] present authors have shown how to incorporate the self-adjoint extension method within the Green's function formalism without invoking the perturbation expansion. In the present paper we will show that Green's functions for the δ-function potential systems are also obtainable by introducing the appropriate renormalization procedure. The equivalence of the renormalization with the self-adjoint extension shown by R. Jackiw in Ref.[7] will be shown again at Green's function level by introducing the harmonic oscillator plus δ-function potential at $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

Let us start with the two dimensional Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + v\delta(\vec{r}) \tag{1}$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}\vec{r}^2. \tag{2}$$

The harmonic oscillator potential is introduced because of its frequent use as a calculational tool for the discretization of energy spectrum[10]. By using an expansion

$$e^{z\cos\Delta\phi} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(z) e^{im\Delta\phi} \tag{3}$$

where $I_\nu(z)$ is usual modified Bessel function, it is straightforward to derive the energy-dependent Green's function $\hat{G}_0$ for H_0:

$$\hat{G}_0^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{G}_{0,m}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] e^{im\Delta\theta_{ab}} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_{0,m}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] = &\frac{1}{2\pi\omega r_a r_b} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+m+E/\omega}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1+m)} \\ &\times W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{m}{2}}(\omega Max(r_a^2, r_b^2)) M_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{m}{2}}(\omega Min(r_a^2, r_b^2)).\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here, $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ and $M_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ are usual Whittaker functions. Since δ-function potential is zero range, so it gives some modification only on s-wave, the difference of energy-dependent Green's functions for H and for H_0 is independent of the polar angle θ. Hence, one can define the difference of the energy-dependent Green's functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] &\equiv \hat{G}^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E] - \hat{G}_0^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E] \\ &= \hat{G}_{m=0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] - \hat{G}_{0,m=0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{G}^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E]$ is energy-dependent Green's function for H and $\hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{m=0}[r_b, r_a; E]$ is radial part of s-wave in $\hat{G}^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E]$. By following the method used in Ref.[8] one can express $\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]$ in terms of $\hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[r_b, r_a; E]$:

$$\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] = -\frac{\hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[r_b, \epsilon_1; E]\hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, r_a; E]}{\frac{1}{v} + \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to \epsilon_1^+} \hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; E]}. \tag{7}$$

In Eq.(7) the limit $\epsilon_1 \to 0$ should be taken after calculation. By combining the Eqs.(5) and (7) and using the relations of the Whittaker functions with the confluent hypergeometric functions[11]

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) &= e^{-\frac{z}{2}} z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} M(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu; z) \\ W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) &= e^{-\frac{z}{2}} z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} U(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu; z), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

more convenient form of $\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]$ is

$$\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] = f_0(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; E) g_0(r_a) g_0(r_b) \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; E) = &-\frac{1}{\frac{1}{v} + \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to \epsilon_1^+} \hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; E]} \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \\ &\times \Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right) M_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},0}(\omega\epsilon_1^2) M_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},0}(\omega\epsilon_2^2) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$g_0(r) = \sqrt{\omega} e^{-\frac{\omega r^2}{2}} U(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega}, 1; \omega r^2). \tag{11}$$

Here, $f_0(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; E)$ has an ultraviolet divergence because of the denominator term $\frac{1}{v} + \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to \epsilon_1^+} \hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; E]$.

In the following we will show how to make the theory finite by introducing the renormalized coupling constant, which is assumed to be a finite quantity. Using the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \to 0} M(a, 1, z) &= 1, \\ \lim_{z \to 0} U(a, 1, z) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}[\ln z + \psi(a)] \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\psi(z)$ are digamma function, the denominator in Eq.(7) becomes

$$\frac{1}{v} + \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to \epsilon_1^+} \hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; E] = \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} + \ln \mu \epsilon_2^2 + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) \right] \tag{13}$$

where arbitrary mass-dimensional parameter μ is introduced.

In order to make the theory finite we introduce the renormalized coupling constant g

$$\frac{1}{g} \equiv \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \mu \epsilon_2^2, \tag{14}$$

which leads Eq.(13) to

$$\frac{1}{v} + \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to \epsilon_1^+} \hat{G}^{(d=2)}_{0,m=0}[\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; E] = \frac{1}{g} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) \right]. \tag{15}$$

Inserting Eq.(15) into Eq.(10) and using the relations (8) again one can easily obtain the finite $f_0(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; E)$

$$f_0(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; E) = \frac{\Gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right)}{4\pi^2 \omega} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{g}}. \tag{16}$$

By combining Eqs.(9), (11), and (16) $\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]$ becomes finite as follows:

$$\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] = \frac{\Gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right)}{4\pi^2 \omega r_a r_b} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\ln \frac{\omega}{\mu} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{g}} W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega}, 0}(\omega r_a^2) W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega}, 0}(\omega r_b^2). \tag{17}$$

Now let us apply the self-adjoint extension method to derive the finite $\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]$. Following Ref.[8] it is straightforward to show that the boundary condition

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{g_0(r)}{\ln r} = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \lim_{r \to 0} \left[g_0(r) - \left(\lim_{r' \to 0} \frac{g_0(r')}{\ln r'} \right) \ln r \right], \tag{18}$$

where λ is real self-adjoint extension parameter and $g_0(r)$ is defined in Eq.(11), is derived by incorporating the self-adjoint extension method into the Green's function formalism properly. As a result of the boundary condition (18) the condition for the bound-state spectrum

$$\ln \omega + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \tag{19}$$

is easily obtained. Since bound-state energy must be a pole of the energy-dependent Green's function, we get another condition for the bound-state spectrum

$$\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\ln \omega \epsilon_2^2 + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega} \right) \right] = 0. \tag{20}$$

In order for the conditions (19) and (20) to be consistent the relation between the self-adjoint extension parameter λ and the bare coupling constant v

$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \epsilon_2 \tag{21}$$

must be required. The remaining calculation procedure to obtain the $\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]$ is exactly same with the previous renormalization method. Finally, we obtain

$$\hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] = \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right)}{4\pi^2 \omega r_a r_b} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\ln \omega + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{\lambda}} W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},0}(\omega r_a^2) W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},0}(\omega r_b^2). \tag{22}$$

By comparing Eq.(14) with Eq.(21) the relation between the self-adjoint extension parameter λ and the renormalized coupling constant g

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{g} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \mu \tag{23}$$

is simply derived. Hence, the self-adjoint extension and renormalization methods yield an identical energy-dependent Green's function if the relation (23) is assumed. The final form of $\hat{G}^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E]$ is

$$\hat{G}^{(d=2)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{G}_m^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] e^{im\theta_{ab}} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_{m\neq 0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] &= \hat{G}_{0,m\neq 0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] \\ \hat{G}_{m=0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] &= \hat{G}_{0,m=0}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E] + \hat{A}^{(d=2)}[r_b, r_a; E]. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The equivalence of the self-adjoint extension and the renormalization is also straightforwardly shown in the three dimensional δ-function plus harmonic oscillator system by following the exactly same procedure. In this case the final form of $\hat{G}^{(d=3)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E]$ is

$$\hat{G}^{(d=3)}[\vec{r}_b, \vec{r}_a; E] = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \hat{G}_l^{(d=3)}[r_b, r_a; E] Y_{l,m}^*(\theta_a, \phi_a) Y_{l,m}(\theta_b, \phi_b) \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{G}_{l=0}^{(d=3)}[r_b, r_a; E] \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega (r_a r_b)^{3/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{1}{4}}(\omega Max(r_a^2, r_b^2)) M_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{1}{4}}(\omega Min(r_a^2, r_b^2)) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\omega}(r_a r_b)^{3/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right)}{\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{E}{2\omega}\right)} - \frac{\pi/\lambda}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{E}{2\omega}\right)}} W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{1}{4}}(\omega r_a^2) W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{1}{4}}(\omega r_b^2), \\
&\hat{G}^{(d=3)}_{l\neq 0}[r_b, r_a; E] \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega (r_a r_b)^{3/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{l}{2} + \frac{E}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(l + \frac{3}{2}\right)} W_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{l}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}(\omega Max(r_a^2, r_b^2)) M_{-\frac{E}{2\omega},\frac{l}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}(\omega Min(r_a^2, r_b^2))
\end{aligned}
$$

and $Y_{l,m}(\theta, \phi)$ is usual spherical harmonics. In this case λ, real self-adjoint extension parameter, is related to the renormalized coupling constant g as follows:

$$
\frac{1}{g} = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \tag{28}
$$

where $\frac{1}{g} = \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_2}$.

If one takes $\omega \to 0$ limit in Eq.(24) and Eq.(26), the same energy-dependent Green's functions with those given in Ref.[8] are straightforwardly derived by using the various asymptotic formulae.

In summary, the energy-dependent Green's functions for the two and three dimensional δ-function plus harmonic oscillator systems are derived by using the renormalization and self-adjoint extension methods, respectively. It is shown that the Green's function derived by each method coincides with each other if the relations between the self-adjoint extension parameter and the renormalized coupling constant, Eq.(23) at $d = 2$ and Eq.(28) at $d = 3$ are imposed.

REFERENCES

[1] Ph.de Sousa Gerbert, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 1346 (1989);
C.R.Hagen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6**, 3119 (1991).

[2] R.Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **A18**, 107 (1990);
M.G.Alford, J.March-Russell, and F.Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B328**, 140 (1989);
Y.H.Chen, F.Wilczek, E.Witten, and B.I.Halperin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B3**, 1001 (1989).

[3] S.Albeverio, F.Gesztesy, R.Hoegh-Krohn and H.Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

[4] C.Thorn, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 639 (1979);
K. Huang, *Quarks, Leptons, and Gauge Fields*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982).

[5] S.Coleman and E.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D7**, 1888 (1973).

[6] C.Manuel and R.Tarrach, Phys. Lett. **B328**, 113 (1994);
S.K.Adhikari, T.Frederico, and I.D.Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 487 (1995);
S.K.Adhikari and T.Frederico, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4572 (1995);
R.J.Henderson and S.G.Rajeev, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 2171 (1997); hep-th/9710061;
S.K.Adhikari and A.Ghosh, J. Phys. **A30**, 6553 (1997);
D.R.Phillips, S.R.Beane, and T.D.Cohen, hep-th/9706070.

[7] R.Jackiw, *in M.A.Bég Memorial Volume*, edited by A.Ali and P.Hoodbhoy (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[8] D.K.Park, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 5453 (1995).

[9] D.K.Park, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29**, 6407 (1996);
D.K.Park and Shang-Kyoon Yoo, to appear in Ann. Phys.

[10] A.Comtet, Y.Georgelin, and S.Ouvry, J. Phys. **A22**, 3917 (1989);
T.Blum, C.R.Hagen and S.Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 709 (1990).

[11] L.J.Slater, *in Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, edited by M.Abramowitz and I.A.Stegun (Dover, New York, 1972).